

**ABSTRACT ALGEBRA A**  
**HOMEWORK 4 SOLUTIONS**

CHAPTER 3

**3-48.** If  $(gH)(gH) = gH$ , then multiplying both sides by  $g^{-1}H$  gives  $gH = H$ , which is impossible if  $g \notin H$ . This means that  $g^2H = (gH)(gH) = H$ , which means that  $g^2 \in H$ . This holds for every  $g \notin H$ , and since  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , we know that for every  $g \in H$ ,  $g^2 \in H$ . Therefore  $g^2 \in H$  for all  $g \in G$ .

**3-50.** The group table looks like this:

$\cdot$	1	$a$	$a^2$	$a^3$	$b$	$ab$	$a^2b$	$a^3b$
1	1	$a$	$a^2$	$a^3$	$b$	$ab$	$a^2b$	$a^3b$
$a$	$a$	$a^2$	$a^3$	1	$ab$	$a^2b$	$a^3b$	$b$
$a^2$	$a^2$	$a^3$	1	$a$	$a^2b$	$a^3b$	$b$	$ab$
$a^3$	$a^3$	1	$a$	$a^2$	$a^3b$	$b$	$ab$	$a^2b$
$b$	$b$	$a^3b$	$a^2b$	$ab$	$a^2$	$a$	1	$a^3$
$ab$	$ab$	$b$	$a^3b$	$a^2b$	$a^3$	$a^2$	$a$	1
$a^2b$	$a^2b$	$ab$	$b$	$a^3b$	1	$a^3$	$a^2$	$a$
$a^3b$	$a^3b$	$a^2b$	$ab$	$b$	$a$	1	$a^3$	$a^2$

The top half of this table is easy to compute using the fact that  $a$  generates a cyclic subgroup of order 4. The rest of the table is not hard because knowing that  $ba = a^3b$  lets one move any  $b$ 's in a product to the right of any  $a$ 's, and because the fact that  $b^2 = a^2$  then lets one get rid of extraneous copies of  $b$ . Of course, one also has to use heavily the fact that  $a^4 = 1$ .

Obviously this group isn't abelian. I would have said that there are 5 proper subgroups, one of which is the trivial subgroup  $\{e\}$ . The others are

$$\begin{aligned} &\{e, a, a^2, a^3\} \\ &\{e, b, a^2, a^2b\} \\ &\{e, ab, a^2, a^3b\} \\ &\{e, a^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

The first 3 of these are obviously normal, since they have index 2. They are the cyclic subgroups generated by any of the elements of  $Q$  except  $e$  and  $a^2$ .

Since both  $e$  and  $a^2$  commute with every element of  $Q$  (look at the group table),  $\{e, a^2\}$  must be normal in  $Q$ . Further, any subgroup built by starting with  $\{e, a^2\}$  and adding additional elements must contain one of the 6 elements of order 4; so it must either be one of the three subgroups of order 4, or all of  $Q$ .

All this (and practically everything else about  $Q$ ) is simpler to think about if we regard  $Q$  as consisting of the elements  $\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j$  and  $\pm k$  where  $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$

and

$$\begin{aligned}ij &= -ji = k \\jk &= -kj = i \\ki &= -ik = j.\end{aligned}$$

- 3-51.** You can pretty much do this just by squinting at the group table for the quaternion group. All the occurrences of  $b$  are in the upper right and lower left quadrants of the table. The group table is therefore

	$\langle a \rangle$	$\langle a \rangle b$
$\langle a \rangle$	$\langle a \rangle$	$\langle a \rangle b$
$\langle a \rangle b$	$\langle a \rangle b$	$\langle a \rangle$

Of course, the fact that the subgroup  $\langle a \rangle$  is normal in the quaternion group means that it is harmless to put the  $b$  on the left above; but putting it on the right seems more consistent with the way we have written the multiplication table.

- 3-52.** What's there to say? Obviously  $V = \langle v \rangle$  is abelian, but as noted at the top of page 74, it isn't normal.
- 3-53.** We already know that  $V \not\triangleleft G$ . That  $V \triangleleft K$  and that  $K \triangleleft G$  are easy because  $[K : V] = [G : K] = 2$ .

#### CHAPTER 4

- 4-3.** Well, if it's really a homomorphism then the kernel is easy to find: it's the set of four elements  $\{1, r, s, t\}$  that are the only elements left that the homomorphism could take to the identity.

- 4-4.** Here's a fairly economical way to do this one:

One of the left cosets of  $K$  is  $K = \{1, r, s, t\}$  itself. Another is  $aK = \{a, c, b^2, d^2\}$ . The left cosets form a disjoint partition of  $\mathcal{A}_4$ ; so the remaining left coset is  $\{a^2, b, c^2, d\}$ .

Similarly, the right cosets of  $K$  are  $K$  itself,  $Ka = \{a, c, b^2, d^2\}$ , and  $\{a^2, b, c^2, d\}$ .

One of our equivalent definitions for normal subgroups was that they are subgroups for which every left coset is a right coset. That's satisfied here, so  $K \triangleleft \mathcal{A}_4$ .

- 4-5.** I assume that what they mean by finding  $\mathcal{A}_4/K$  is computing the group table of  $\mathcal{A}_4/K$ , which is shown below.

	$K$	$aK$	$bK$
$K$	$K$	$aK$	$bK$
$aK$	$aK$	$bK$	$K$
$bK$	$bK$	$K$	$aK$

This is the same as the group table of the cyclic group of order 3 if we replace  $K$  with  $e$ ,  $aK$  with  $T$ , and  $bK$  with  $T^2$ :

	$e$	$T$	$T^2$
$e$	$e$	$T$	$T^2$
$T$	$T$	$T^2$	$e$
$T^2$	$T^2$	$e$	$T$

Thus,  $\mathcal{A}_4/K \simeq \langle T \rangle$ .

**4-6.** The homomorphism has to take  $e$  and  $a$  to 0 and it has to take  $b$  and  $c$  to 1, assuming the cyclic group with 2 elements is being written as  $(\mathbb{Z}_2, +)$ . This is a homomorphism because  $\{e, a\} \triangleleft K_4$  by Theorem 3-11.

**4-7.** This time  $e$  and  $a^2$  map to 0, and  $a$  and  $a^3$  map to 1. Again, it has to be a homomorphism because the kernel is a subgroup of index 2, which means that it's normal by Theorem 3-11.

**4-8.** This one was a little tricky, since if  $a + \langle n \rangle$  and  $b + \langle n \rangle$  are two cosets, then the product

$$(a + \langle n \rangle)(b + \langle n \rangle) = \{xy : x \in a + \langle n \rangle, y \in b + \langle n \rangle\}$$

is not a coset. We therefore have to begin by defining what the product of two cosets should be. The natural hope would be that we could define the product as

$$(1) \quad (a + \langle n \rangle)(b + \langle n \rangle) = ab + \langle n \rangle.$$

If this product is well-defined, then the mapping  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\langle n \rangle$  defined by  $\phi(a) = a + \langle n \rangle$  is automatically a homomorphism under multiplication, since that's what equation (1) says.

So what we need to prove is that if  $a + \langle n \rangle = a' + \langle n \rangle$  and  $b + \langle n \rangle = b' + \langle n \rangle$ , then  $ab + \langle n \rangle = a'b' + \langle n \rangle$ . This is easy, though. If  $a + \langle n \rangle = a' + \langle n \rangle$  and  $b + \langle n \rangle = b' + \langle n \rangle$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} a' &= a + n_1 \\ b' &= b + n_2 \end{aligned}$$

for some  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  in  $\langle n \rangle$ . This means that

$$\begin{aligned} a' &= a + nx \\ b' &= b + ny \end{aligned}$$

for some  $x$  and  $y$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . But then

$$\begin{aligned} a'b' + \langle n \rangle &= (a + nx)(b + ny) + \langle n \rangle \\ &= (ab + n(ay + bx + nxy)) + \langle n \rangle \\ &= (ab + nt) + \langle n \rangle \\ &= \{ab + nt + nz : z \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &= \{ab + n(t + z) : z \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &= \{ab + nq : q \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &= ab + \langle n \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $t = ay + bx + nxy$  and where we have used the fact that as  $z$  ranges over all elements of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , so does  $q = t + z$ .