

REMARKS ON CHALLENGE PROBLEM I

TIM MCLARNAN

Challenge Problem I was the trig identity from Whittaker and Watson: For every integer $n \geq 2$,

$$\left(\sin \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \left(\sin \frac{2\pi}{n}\right) \cdots \left(\sin \frac{(n-1)\pi}{n}\right) = \frac{n}{2^{n-1}}.$$

I haven't received any solutions to this problem, though I know some folks have been working on it. For those of you who are ready to give up, here's my solution.

To keep things neater, let's write the identity using a product sign, which is just like \sum notation except it tells you to multiply. Written this way, the identity becomes

$$\prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \left(\sin \frac{\pi r}{n}\right) = \frac{n}{2^{n-1}}.$$

My proof of this identity makes heavy use of a miraculous formula of Euler,

$$e^{i\theta} = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta, \tag{1}$$

which in turn is proved by plugging $x = i\theta$ into the Taylor series for e^x and then separating out the real and imaginary terms from the result.

Consequences of Euler's theorem include things like

$$e^{\pi i/2} = i \tag{2}$$

and the essential identity

$$\sin \theta = \frac{e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}}{2i}. \tag{3}$$

A final starting fact we'll want is that there are n n^{th} roots of 1 in the complex plane. Geometrically, these should be n points spaced evenly around the unit circle, since multiplying complex numbers can be done geometrically by multiplying their distances from the origin and adding the angles they make with the x -axis. Because of Formula (1), these n points can be written as

$$1, e^{2\pi i/n}, e^{4\pi i/n}, \dots, e^{(n-1)\pi i/n},$$

or, equivalently, as

$$1, e^{-2\pi i/n}, e^{-4\pi i/n}, \dots, e^{-(n-1)\pi i/n}. \quad (4)$$

Now we're ready. The left side of Whittaker and Watson's identity can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \left(\sin \frac{\pi i r}{n} \right) &= \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \frac{e^{\pi i r/n} - e^{-\pi i r/n}}{2i} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n-1} i^{n-1}} \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \left(e^{\pi i r/n} - e^{-\pi i r/n} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n-1} i^{n-1}} \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \left(e^{\pi i r/n} \left(1 - e^{-2\pi i r/n} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{e^{\frac{\pi i}{n}(1+2+3+\dots+(n-1))}}{2^{n-1} i^{n-1}} \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - e^{-2\pi i r/n} \right) \\ &= \frac{e^{\frac{\pi i n(n-1)}{2n}}}{2^{n-1} i^{n-1}} \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - e^{-2\pi i r/n} \right) \\ &= \frac{\left(e^{\frac{\pi i}{2}} \right)^{n-1}}{2^{n-1} i^{n-1}} \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - e^{-2\pi i r/n} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - e^{-2\pi i r/n} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality comes from formula (2).

Now look at the expression inside the product sign. It looks like the product of 1 minus each of the n^{th} roots of 1 in formula (5) except for 1 itself. Now, the n^{th} roots of 1 must appear in the factorization of $x^n - 1$ over \mathbb{C} like this:

$$x^n - 1 = (x - 1) \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \left(x - e^{-2\pi i r/n} \right).$$

This means that

$$x^{n-1} + x^{n-2} + \dots + x + 1 = \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} = \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \left(x - e^{-2\pi i r/n} \right).$$

Plug in $x = 1$ and lo and behold, you have the product we're trying to evaluate:

$$\prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - e^{-2\pi i r/n} \right) = 1^{n-1} + 1^{n-2} + \dots + 1 + 1 = n.$$

Thus,

$$\prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \left(\sin \frac{\pi ir}{n} \right) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - e^{-2\pi ir/n} \right) = \frac{n}{2^{n-1}},$$

and we're done.

You might think this argument would be the first thing to occur to me, but, strangely, it was not. Instead, I was slowed down by some interesting mistakes.

My first error was to try to do the whole argument over \mathbb{R} by using clever trig identities, instead of turning everything into complex exponentials. I'd still like a purely real argument for the identity, even though I know it may not be very natural. If you find a completely real argument, by all means tell me about it.

My more critical second error was to try to proceed by induction on n . I wandered into this by discovering that the identity for $2n$ could be reduced by simple real arguments to the identity for n . To do this reduction, notice that the double angle formula for sin gives

$$\left(\sin \frac{\pi r}{2n} \right) \left(\sin \frac{\pi(n+r)}{2n} \right) = \left(\sin \frac{\pi r}{2n} \right) \left(\cos \frac{\pi r}{2n} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sin \frac{\pi r}{n}.$$

This means that if identity (1) holds for n , then

$$\prod_{r=1}^{2n-1} \left(\sin \frac{\pi ir}{2n} \right) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \prod_{r=1}^{n-1} \left(\sin \frac{\pi ir}{n} \right) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \frac{n}{2^{n-1}} = \frac{2n}{2^{2n-1}},$$

so the identity holds for $2n$.

This reduction occurred to me rather quickly, and left me thinking, "Great! We know the theorem for even n , and all we need to do is find some similar reduction for odd n and we'll be done." I therefore wasted an embarrassing amount of time trying to come up with the right combination of uses of the double-angle formula and the half-angle formula to reduce, say, the $n = 11$ case to $n = 5$ or $n = 6$ or both.

On reflection, this was nuts. No possible piece of simple algebraic messing about is going to turn 11^{ths} into 5^{ths} or 6^{ths}. I should have seen this immediately and moved on, but, perhaps beguiled by my partial success, I held on far too long to an obviously fatally flawed program. In some way the lesson of my attempts to prove (1) is just this—don't give too much weight to a partial solution to a problem if it feels like it's leading you down the wrong track.